

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.                      |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10/608,737                | 06/27/2003      | James D. Parsons     | 378-21-020          | 7690                                  |
| 23935 7                   | 7590 09/12/2005 |                      | EXAM                | INER                                  |
|                           | COBS, PATRICK & | EASTHOM, KARL D      |                     |                                       |
| 555 ST. CHAF<br>SUITE 107 | KLES DRIVE      | •                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER                          |
| THOUSAND                  | OAKS, CA 91360  |                      | 2832                | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | ዝላ   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|  | 10/608,737   | PARSONS, JAMES D.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Karl D. Easthom  | 2832   |  |  |  |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet with  | h the correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER | ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O   | 1 August 2005.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T  | · _ · _ · _ · _ · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 8, 10-14, 19, 20, 24-30, 35, 36, 4</u>  | <u>10-46,51,52 and 70-72</u> is/are p  | ending in the application.   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without   | drawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-8, 10-14, 19-20, 24-30, 35-36, 40-46, 51-52, and 70-72</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an  | d/or election requirement  |  |  |  |  |
| o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an  | aror election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) displayed to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bu  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | · <del>-</del>   | tummary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | ,  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/608,737

Art Unit: 2832

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species 1 claims 70-72. Species 2- claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-14, 19-20, 24-30, 35-36, 40-46, and 51-52.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,737

Art Unit: 2832

As an alternative, the claims are related as follows:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims in species 1, drawn to a heater, classified in class 219, subclass
 219.

II. Claims in species 2, drawn to a sensor, classified in class 338, subclass25.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because there is no requirement for the spacing as required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use as a resistor in an oscillator circuit or any other type of circuit.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Easthom whose telephone number is (571) 272-1989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Easthom Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832 Page 4

**KDE**